



Government of Nepal


Ministry of Federal Affairs and Local Development

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT COORDINATION COMMITTEE

Nuwakot

Earthquake Housing Reconstruction Project

(EHRP)



Environmental and Social Screening Report (ESSR)

Sub project Matragau/Settlement: Thansing VDC, Nuwakot District

Date: 3 September 2017

Seal of Acceptance of Environment and Social Screening Report



Upendra Pyakurel

Energy and Environment Officer

Energy and Environment Section/Committee


District Coordination Committee

Bidur, Nuwakot








Official Stamp

Screening Survey, Review and Reporting Team



In completion of Environmental and Social Screening Report (ESSR), here are the list of personals who had overall responsibility in surveying, data verification,

Position	Name	Signature & Date	Remarks
District Project Manager/DL-PIU, Nuwakot	Sunil Raj Giri		Review of overall screening process
Deputy Team Leader/DL-PIU, Nuwakot	Ram Bikram Dahal		Guidance and reviewer of the overall screening reporting process
Environmental Specialist/DL-PIU, Nuwakot	Sudeep Ghimire		Support and facilitation during survey to SM and Engineer, data verification, compilation, presentation and finalization of screening report
Social Development Specialist/DL-PIU, Nuwakot	Rishi Ram Bimali		Support and facilitation during survey to SM and Engineer, data verification, compilation, presentation and finalization of screening report
Monitoring and Evaluation Officer/DL-PIU, Nuwakot	Arjun Bahadur Basnet		Support and Facilitate in coordinating the community people and survey work
Engineer	Dipesh Sedai		Technical estimation of the materials generated and used for reconstructing a private home
Social Mobilizer/s	Ambika Bhattarai		Support in conducting screening survey

Acronyms and Abbreviation

CBS	Central Bureau of Statistics
CL-PIU	Central Level Project- Implementation Unit
DCC	District Coordination Committee
DDC	District Development Committee
DL-PIU	District Level – Project Implementation Unit
DTO	District Technical Office
EHRP	Earthquake Housing Reconstruction Project
ENRC	Environment and Natural Resource Committee
ESMF	Environmental and Social Management Framework
ESMP	Environmental and Social Management Plan
ESSR	Environment and Social Screening Report
ESSS	Environment and Social Screening Survey
HHs	Households
ICS	Improved Cooking Stove
IP	Indigenous People
LGCDP	Local Governance and Community Development Programme
LPG	Liquid Petroleum Gas
MoFSC	Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation
MoUD	Ministry of Urban Development
MoUD	Ministry of Urban Development
NRA	National Reconstruction Authority
NTFP	Non Timber Forest Product
OP/BP	Operational Policy/ Bank Policy

PIU	Project Implementation Unit
POs	Partner Organizations
RAP	Resettlement Action Plan
TCN	Timber Corporation of Nepal
VCDP	Vulnerable Community Development Plan
VDC	Village Development Committee

Units

#	Numbers/ Count
%	Percentage
cft	Cubic Foot
cum/m ³	Cubic Meter
km	Kilometer
masl	Meter Above Sea Level

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	V
1. BACKGROUND	1
2. SCOPE OF EHRP	1
3. SUB PROJECT (SETTLEMENT)	2
4. SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING AND ITS OBJECTIVES	3
5. METHODOLOGY	3
6. FINDINGS AND ISSUES	3
A. EARTHQUAKE DAMAGED HOUSES AND LOCAL CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS	3
B. FOREST AND WILDLIFE	4
C. DEBRIS/SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	4
D. SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL FEATURES	4
E. WATER AND SANITATION.....	5
F. BUILD BACK BETTER OPPORTUNITY	5
G. ANY SENSITIVE SITE	5
7. FEEDBACK OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCE COMMITTEE (ENRC) REVIEW MEETING	5
8. ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL MITIGATION MEASURES, MONITORING ACTIVITIES ..	5
9. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	11
ANNEXES	I
ANNEX I: ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SAFEGUARD SCREENING CHECKLIST	I
ANNEX II: ELIGIBLE HHs LIST OF MATRAGAU SETTLEMENT	VIII
ANNEX III: REVIEW MEETING MINUTES FOR APPROVAL OF SCREENING REPORT FOR THANSING VDC.....	XIII
ANNEX IV: LIST OF CONSULTATION	XV
ANNEX V: ARIAL VIEW OF MATRAGAU SETTLEMENT IN GOOGLE MAP.....	XVI
ANNEX VI: QUANTITY CALCULATION SHEET FOR MATRAGAU	XVII

1. Background

Nepal Earthquake of April 2015 and subsequent aftershocks including of May 2015, caused wide spread destruction of residential houses, heritage sites, water supply system, government building, rural road, health posts etc. Nuwakot is one the earthquake-affected district. According to National Reconstruction Authority (NRA) source, the total number of houses damaged in Nuwakot district is 74,533 of which 65,759 are fully damaged and 8,774 partially damaged.

Government of Nepal has selected Nuwakot district as one of the three districts to be supported under the World Bank funded Earthquake Housing Reconstruction Project (EHRP). The main objective of the EHRP is to restore earthquake-affected houses with multi-hazard resistant core housing units in targeted districts (Nuwakot, Dolkha and Dhading district) and to enhance the government's ability to improve long-term disaster resilience. The project has four components: (1) Improved Housing Reconstruction, (2) Disaster Risk Management Systems, (3) Project Implementation Support and (4) is for Contingency Emergency Response.

2. Scope of EHRP

EHRP supports only reconstruction of owners built houses. Government, through EHRP, provides grants to households for construction of residential houses following 'owner-driven' housing construction model.

EHRP approach includes:

- Beneficiary households will build their house on their own land. Thus, land acquisition and resettlement is not envisaged under the project.
- Residential houses will be small and constructed by the beneficiary household themselves.
- Not all the houses will be constructed at the same time. Beneficiary households are expected to build their houses at their own pace.
- Contractors will not be used. Households have option to hire skilled and unskilled laborers as needed.
- In Nepal, community members also come together to help each other to build their houses and community help is also provided to the vulnerable households.
- General awareness/orientation on building earthquake resilient houses, environment and social safeguards and "Build Back Better Opportunity" provisions in the project to beneficiary households.
- EHRP (housing component) does not support reconstruction of heritage/cultural sites, schools and other physical and social infrastructure and services.

Government's overall approach for earthquake disaster recovery in Nepal includes:

- Skilled training (mason, carpentry, plumbing, electrical) as a part of overall strategies are being provided by Department of Urban Development and Building Construction (DUDBC) in coordination with other line agencies and NGOs/INGOs. All interested community members and beneficiaries including those from vulnerable groups can take the training. NRA has already finalized the earthquake resilient house construction design parameters. Based on the design parameter DUDBC engineer verifies earthquake resilient house reconstruction and beneficiaries are entitled to receive the tranche payment.
- Government recognizes the need for supplementary top-up financial assistance worth NPR 50,000 for vulnerable households which could be in the form of materials and labor support among others. This supplementary financial support will be provided by partner organizations. Currently, the government is preparing the guideline for vulnerable support package for partner organizations and is expected to be finalized by end of September 2017.
- Housing construction support of NRs 25,000 may be used as an opportunity to promote sanitation, renewable energy (including solar power, Improved Cooking Stoves (ICS) or bio-

gas etc. As such, the sanitation part of the settlement is likely to improve. Unless toilet or installation of alternative energy provision is adopted by beneficiaries the amount allocated will not be paid to the beneficiaries

3. Sub Project (Settlement)

Environmental and Social Screening is done at settlement level. Under EHRP-Settlement /sub project is defined using combination of criteria including the following: administrative boundary (such as a ward, or a VDC), name of the village/place (such as Tole)/ community, natural or topographical boundary, and contiguity. Former Village Development Committees (VDCs) are restructured as Rural Municipality/Municipality according to new restructure federal system of Nepal.

The sub-project *Matragau* settlement is located at Kakani rural municipality (former Thansing VDC Ward no.-9) and it lies in southeastern part of district headquarter, Bidur (Fig. 1) and detailed settlement level boundary is presented in Annex V. The settlement is easily accessible through *Trishuli-Kakani* Highway and *Tokha- Chhahare* feeder with earthen sub-road network.




Fig.1: Map Showing *Matragau* Settlement in the Thansing VDC

Physiographical condition of the sub project area is characterized by mountain, subtropical climate, surrounded by uphill area with no such happening of any natural disaster.

The total number of eligible HHs considered as beneficiaries identified from National Reconstruction Authority (NRA) for “Nepal Earthquake 2015” within this settlement is 203 HHs.

4. Social and Environmental Screening and its Objectives

ESMF requires Environmental and Social screening of each subproject/ settlement. The objectives of Screenings are:

- To identify potential environmental and social issues/risks related to the subprojects and its activities (physical, biological, social –cultural issues/risks specific to the area, in and around the settlement),
- To identify the need to obtain any regulatory clearances such as from Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation (MoFSC) for specific site/s like clearing/felling of trees, from District Development Committee (DDC) and VDCs for approved quarry sites etc,
- To check if the proposed location and activity meets the exclusion criteria,
- To establish the need to carry out any further investigation/survey/ assessment for preparation of safeguard document like ESMPs, Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Vulnerable Community Development Plan (VCDP), or any specific study and to provide guidance regarding environmental and social requirements and steps that needs to be taken for addressing identified issues, and
- To explore opportunities for creating linkage for enhancing benefits, building better and synergy.

5. Methodology

- Desk study: Documents such as district profile, VDC profile, CBS publication and publication of line agencies at district level and other project related reports were reviewed. Aid of topographic maps of scale 1:25000 and regional geological map including google earth map was taken to get the overview of the project area.
- Field visit and consultation: Environmental and Social screening checklist was used (Annex D) for recording information. Walkover survey for recording information was also carried out at settlement level. Free, prior and informed consultation with beneficiaries and local informants was undertaken on March, 2017. During the consultation, they were informed about the project provisions and information on socioeconomic setting including physical and biological features were recorded. Discussions were also held with district and local sectoral agencies including District Technical Office (DTO), ward secretary of rural municipality, communities’ groups- CFUGs and CBO active in sub project.
- Further consultation and discussion were held with and among EHRP Consultants; consultant engineers from Ministry of Urban Development (MoUD), Local Governance and Community Development Programme (LGCDP) Social Mobilizers.

6. Findings and Issues

Following are the potential environmental and social issues and impacts identified by the Environment&Social screening of the affected settlement:

A. Earthquake Damaged Houses and Local Construction Materials

- With scattered distribution, a typical house before the earthquake comprised of 24’*32’ plinth with five rooms in 2-3 stories made from timber, stone, clay, bamboo and corrugated sheets.
- The beneficiaries are currently residing in temporary shelters within the settlement;

- The proposed newly reconstruction houses will be made with stone and brick masonry using availability of local raw materials under government standard;
- 203 beneficiaries are rebuilding their houses in the same location following recommendation by engineers from Department of Urban Development and Building Construction (DUDBC). However, the reconstruction policy of NRA also permits to rebuild their houses within the district;
- About 40 percent of the houses will be built using stone and remaining sixty percent will use bricks for reconstructing their houses. Total additional demand of stone is approximately 2,436 cum, bricks is 32,27,091 numbers and timber 359.16 cum after reusing 406 cum from salvage timber besides requirement of sand, aggregate, cement, iron (Annex VI);
- All required raw materials for building will be purchased from DDC approved quarry sitenearby ward nos. 2, 3 & 4 of *Thansing* VDC and also from *Trishuli*quarry sites;
- Most of the identified quarry sites are located nearby Likhu andTrishuli Riverand local markets are located at *Dhikure*, *Battar*,and *Bidur*; and
- Stone and timber salvaged are being packed and storedproperly and being used for reconstructions of houses.

B. Forest and Wildlife

- Most of the sub project area lies within the agricultural land and *Chihan Danda* Community Forest is nearby forest area present in the settlement;
- Leaf and fruitof *Aap* (*Mangifera indica*), *Amala* (*Phyllanthus emblica*), *Titae Pati* (*Artemesia indica*), *Ghodtapre* (*Centella asiatica*), *Neem* (*Azadirachta indica*), *Bojho*(*Acorus calamus*), *Parijaat* (*Nyetanthes arbortritis*), and *Sisnu* (*Utrica dioica*), etc are among the Non-Timber Forest Product (NTFP) found within the settlement;
- Mammals like Langur(*Semnopithecus entellus*), Bandel (*Sus scrofa*), and *Dumsi*(*Hystrixindica*) were occasionally reported in the forest; and
- Project activities and implementation is not likely to directly affect aquatic life of nearby *Likhu* River (is not a Ramsar Site) since construction materials are purchased from market.

The total additional demand of 359.16 cum of timber will not have significant impact on adjoining community forest as the standing trees are of low timber value and most are immature to harvest. The requirement of timber will be fulfilled from the quantity salvaged, felling of standing timber trees on farmland owned by the beneficiaries, purchase from local market at *Dhikure*, *Battar* and *Bidur*, purchase at subsidized rate distributed by the Timber Corporation of Nepal (TCN), a government owned entity and other from the CF at government relaxation quota. Therefore, impacts on forest due to reconstruction activities are likely to be low or minimal.

C. Debris/Solid Waste Management and Hazardous Materials

- Debris from earthquake damaged houses is being managed by respective owner of the houses in their own backyard open space;
- Solid waste like empty cement bags, metal scarps generated during construction will have minimal impact;and
- Hazardous waste has not been reported or observed in the subproject area;

D. Socio-economic and Cultural Features

- The project area is a heterogeneous community where indigenous households and other households live together in scattered distribution;
- Out of 203 HHs, 144 HHs are indigenous people, 31 HHs belongs to Brahmin and Chhetri, 28 HHs are from Dalits and , 20 HHs are women headed HHs (Annex-II);

- The major source of livelihood is agriculture, service, remittance and occasional labor works;
- The existing road provides access to the market and approved quarry sites to collect construction materials;
- Public health post and school is located in one & half hour walking distance within the settlement; and
- Most of the beneficiaries HHs are gradually shifting from traditional firewood to LPG as cooking fuel.

E. Water and Sanitation

The source of water for drinking facility is from spring is fair. Sanitation condition was also found satisfactory within the settlement even though some of the HHs are using temporary toilet that will be completed with the final installment grant money provided under government's overall approach to EHRP.

F. Build Back Better Opportunity

- Further improve water, sanitation and hygiene situation in the settlement by toilet construction using housing grant allocated for sanitation purpose and adoption and installation of alternative energy measures;
- As some of the individual residential houses in the settlement/ subproject area are still using firewood as the traditional cooking fuel, this project provides an opportunity to build Improved Cooking Stoves (ICS) or bio-gas etc; and
- These could be promoted through agencies including government and NGOs/ INGO which are already engaged in these sectors in the district. Such opportunity needs to be further explored and promoted as far as possible.

G. Any Sensitive Site

There is no known significant physical cultural site, and no protected site in the immediate surroundings of the subproject/ settlement. There is no known habitat for endangered, rare, protected or special species. There is no hazardous place or restricted place within the settlement.

7. Feedback of Environment and Natural Resource Committee (ENRC) Review Meeting

Following are the major concern/recommendations from the DCC-ENRC meeting held on 25th April, 2017 (Annex III)

- It is likely that the most of the earthquake affected HH may choose to rebuild their houses in-situ instead few of them are using even for agriculture land where no options exists.
- Local government is concerned that as a result of shifting and rebuilding process in the area; there could be loss of productive agricultural land, which could potentially affect the local economy.
- Awareness activities in relation to the above-mentioned issue should be carried out in *Matragau settlementland area*.

8. Environmental and Social Mitigation Measures, Monitoring Activities

The following are Environmental and Social issues and mitigation measures identified from screening report.

Table-1: Environmental and Social issues, impacts and mitigation measures.

SN	Environmental and Social Issues	Mitigation measures	Mitigation measure cost	Responsibility		Remarks
				Implementation	Monitoring/Supervision	
1.	Impacts from the supply and purchase of construction materials (aggregates, stone and sand) from local market on the local community	<p>Aggregates will be purchased from suppliers or at market (Dhikure, Battar, and Bidur). Quarry operators supply the materials from approved quarry sites. District Coordination Committee (DCC) former District Development committee (DDC) is the licensing authority for the quarries, and is responsible to monitor the licensed quarry sites and enforce compliance to environmental mitigations.</p> <p>Timber will be sourced from demolished house salvage, from own farm land, government relaxation quota and from licensed local market. The timber Corporation of Nepal, a government entity, also supply additional timber to local market of the earthquake affected district.</p>	Contractor will be responsible to borne mitigation cost as a part of quarry site license approval clause.	Beneficiary Household/DL-PIU	<p>Monitoring by CL-PIU/mobile team and Third party.</p> <p>Monitoring findings will be shared with DCC.</p>	Aggregated suppliers and vendors are registered on concerned government authority to have permits to supply and sale the products.
2.	Forest and Wild life	The mitigation measures regarding impact on forest (timber supply/demand) is already discussed in foregoing cell. Besides, following measures should be adopted while managing timber from forest;	Not applicable	Beneficiary Household/DL-PIU	<p>Monitoring by CL-PIU/Mobile team and Third Party.</p> <p>Monitoring</p>	

SN	Environmental and Social Issues	Mitigation measures	Mitigation measure cost	Responsibility		Remarks
				Implementation	Monitoring/Supervision	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seek for alternative to timber as for example Aluminum Window Pane; • Use trees felled by the wind or other factor, and use trees without disturbing the core forest area, for example trees likely to be lost by landslide, tree along forest path etc; • Fell tree based on density and distribution, do not fell tree from sparse area of the forest; • Only fell mature trees; • Undertake plantation of tree along bare area as a part of community forest management plan; • Abide by forest law, regulations and guidelines; and • Impact on wildlife is expected to be minimal. 			findings will be shared with DCC	
3.	The construction of small residential buildings will involve small scale civil works. Hence, there is health and safety risks of minor accidents and injuries.	Various training and awareness activities will be organized by DL-PIU, health and safety training and awareness is part of those training and awareness by DL-PIU. Masons and carpenters will be trained on relevant safety measures, and owners will be made aware of the safety risks and management.	The cost of health and safety awareness and training is internalized within the overall cost of training and awareness. Project Implementation Unit (PIU) as a part of annual work plan will develop environmental and social training, awareness and dissemination plan and cost/ budget.	DL-PIU	CL-PIU/NRA	

SN	Environmental and Social Issues	Mitigation measures	Mitigation measure cost	Responsibility		Remarks
				Implementation	Monitoring/Supervision	
4.	Debris/Solid Waste Management	Demolition waste generated is already managed in-situ. The solid waste generated during construction will be minimal and will be managed by beneficiaries themselves	Not applicable	Beneficiaries	DL-PIU	
5	Build Back Better Opportunity – enhancement measures					
5.1	Health and sanitation	<p>In terms of further prioritizing built back better opportunity need priority are :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Integration of toilet (sanitations) construction in housing reconstruction 2. Addressing Indoor air pollution through well ventilated housing design including promotion of Improved Cooking Stoves (ICS) <p>Renewable energy (including solar power, or bio-gas etc.</p>	Housing construction support of NRs 25,000	Beneficiary Household/DL-PIU	Monitoring CL-PIU/mobile team/CL-PIU/and third party	Monitoring cost is covered under overall project site visit, supervision and monitoring. External monitoring (third party) for overall EHRP is estimated to be about NRs. 7 million.
5.2	Indigenous Peoples and other vulnerable groups including marginalized, poor, woman headed households, disabled people	<p>Mitigation measures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Targeted communication and awareness to vulnerable group (e.g. organizing consultation in areas accessible for women and people with disabilities.) on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Awareness on efficient usage of 	This will not require additional mitigation cost.	DL-PIU/Mobile team/ Partner organisations (The team will ensure that vulnerable groups	Monitoring by CL-PIU/NRA	The Government/Project is drafting a guideline for Vulnerable Community Support Package equivalent to NRs 50,000 for vulnerable households to be

SN	Environmental and Social Issues	Mitigation measures	Mitigation measure cost	Responsibility		Remarks
				Implementation	Monitoring/Supervision	
		<p>water and WASH</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Information about the project, grant, training opportunities ○ Awareness campaign on indoor pollution and its health effects ● Target vulnerable groups while providing trainings to construction workers, supervisors, social mobilisers; ● Target female-headed households and other vulnerable groups during social mobilization process and provide them with additional technical assistance during construction period (masonry, carpenters, etc.); and ● Ensuring their participation during consultation process throughout project implementation cycle; ● Provide additional assistance in accessing housing grants (e.g.-facilitate opening bank account, paperwork for installments/tranche, etc.) 		are targeted and their participation in ensured through district project team mobilization)		<p>provided through partner organisations. The project (NRA) including the district team will coordinate with NGOs/INGOs and donor partners to provide the package to the vulnerable groups in the concerned settlement</p> <p>Monitoring cost is covered under overall project site visit, supervision and monitoring. External monitoring (third party) for overall EHRP is estimated to be about NRs. 7 million.</p>
5.3	Loss of productive agricultural land in the settlement area	Organize awareness program and distribute awareness materials in relation to prevention of loss of productive agricultural land in and around the settlement area	The cost of awareness activities is internalized within the overall cost of training and awareness.	DL-PIU	CL-PIU/NRA	CL-PIU will submit copy of awareness

SN	Environmental and Social Issues	Mitigation measures	Mitigation measure cost	Responsibility		Remarks
				Implementation	Monitoring/Supervision	
5.4	Other build back better opportunities	Coordination and linkages with other line agencies including government and NGOs/ INGOs which are already engaged in these sectors in the district.	This will not require additional mitigation cost. Beyond the nominal cost incurred for coordination.	DL-PIU	CL-PIU/NRA	Agencies working in different sections will be identified and added later on in the Annex

9. Conclusions and Recommendations

The major conclusions on the environmental and social issues are;

- There will be no significant adverse impact on physical cultural resources, archeological and wildlife habitat due to sub-project reconstruction activity;
- Health and safety aspects of house reconstruction by the owner will be addressed by the training and awareness to be carried out by the DL-PIU;
- Timber and other construction materials will be purchased from nearby local markets such as Dhikure, Battar, and Bidur. Besides, use of timber from own farmland, distributed volume according to government relaxation quota and purchase from Timber Corporation of Nepal (TCN) will fulfill the additional demand of additional 359.31 cum
- Demand of 2,436 cum stone will be fulfilled from nearby DDC approved quarry sites nearby settlement of Thansing VDC wards 2, 3 & 4 and even from Trishuli quarry sites. Therefore, impact on forest and quarry sites will be low or unlikely.
- As affected households of *Matregau* have own land for reconstruction of houses and also not any major natural risk identified in the area so land acquisition and involuntary resettlement is not required; and
- There will be no adverse impacts on marginalized and disadvantaged group communities including indigenous peoples groups as they are living in heterogeneous distribution.

The environmental and social impact envisaged from the reconstruction activity in this settlement is envisaged as minimal or low and no significant adverse impact is foreseen. Owners will purchase the raw material requirement such as timber and aggregates from quarry and local market. The potential impacts and mitigations are summarized in Table -1. There is no need for further Environmental Assessment.

Furthermore, the sub-project does not entail land acquisition or involuntary resettlement thus RAP is not required. In relation to presence of IPs, the screening and community consultation suggests that the project area is a mixed community where indigenous households and other households live together. The indigenous HHs and other HHs are residing together and they do not have collective attachment to the area. They have same socio-economic and educational status with other caste groups. The vulnerable households are benefited from the EHRP project and they do not have any adverse impact from it. Besides housing grant they are entitled to get 50,000 top up subsidy (See table-1). Thus, a separate VCDP is not required. Measures to ensure that the vulnerable groups including indigenous peoples are not excluded during project implementation and they are able to maximize benefits from the project are discussed in Table -1.

Report Prepared by:

DL-PIU
Name: Sudeep Ghimire
Designation: Environmental Specialist

DL-PIU
Name: Rishi Ram Bimali
Designation: Social Development Specialist

Annexes**Annex I: Environmental and Social Safeguard Screening Checklist**

1.	Project Title	Earthquake Housing and Reconstruction Project (EHRP)
2.	Project District	<i>Nuwakot</i>
3.	Name of the VDC/Municipality	<i>Thansing VDC</i>
4.	Name of the Settlement, Ward No.	<i>Matragau-9</i>
5.	Number of eligible households:	203 HHs
6.	Altitude of the Settlement (in meters)	920 masl
7.	Nearest road head/highway/headquarter	<i>Tokha-Chhahare Highway/20km from headquarter</i>
8.	Settlement ID/no	28-59-9-09
9.	Proposed Date of Commencement of Work:	January 4,2017
	Number of houses damaged	203 HHs
	Number of houses to be reconstructed	203 HHs
	Quantity of debris generated (by type)	Stone, bonding soil, wood, rags,
	Quantity of reusable materials (by type)	Stone, Timber
	Quantity of debris that needs to be disposed	With very few exception most of the generated debris are already managed in-situ by the beneficiaries household, stone and timber salvaged are being packed properly and being used wisely
	Quantity of construction materials needed (Timber, sand, gravel, stone, etc)	Additional about 359.31 cum Timer, 2,436 cum of and 32,27,091 numbers brick is needed
	Any hazardous materials mixed with debris (e.g. from damaged health-posts, medicines, from agro-vet shops/ service etc)	None
10.	Exclusion criteria:	
	Shelter/house should not be located in the risky spots (having ground slope of more than 30 degree, known flood risk spot, on top of or adjacent to known fault-line, etc).	Not applicable

	House/ shelter should not be located in protected area, and forest.	Not applicable
	Any activity, including material quarrying, resulting significant conversation or degradation of critical natural habitat is not permitted	Not applicable
	Use of any public PCR in private home reconstruction is not permitted.	Not applicable

S.No	ISSUES	YES	NO	DO NOT KNOW/remarks
1	Is the proposed sub-project likely to have minimal or no adverse environmental impacts?	√		OP 4.01 Category C
2	Is the proposed subproject likely to have adverse environmental impacts that are site specific; few if any of them are irreversible, and mitigation measures are readily known or can be designed?		√	OP 4.01 Category B Minor impact on community forest is expected with no adverse impact.
3.	Is the proposed subproject likely to have adverse impacts on the health and quality of forests or rights and welfare of people and their level of dependence upon or interaction with forests? Is the proposed subproject likely to bring about changes in the management, protection or utilization of natural forests or plantations?	√	√	OP 4.36 The quantity distributed as per approve government quota will not have significant adverse impact on forest. Minor increase in utilization is expected.
4	Will the sub-project be supporting reconstruction, management, or preservation of physical cultural resources? Is the subproject located in, or in the vicinity of, cultural sites? Will the sub-project likely adversely impact physical cultural resources?		√ √ √	OP 4.11
5.	Will the sub-project involve significant conversion or degradation of critical or non-critical natural habitats?		√	OP 4.04
6	Does the sub-project involve involuntary land acquisition or prior acquisition of land or demolition of existing structures?		√	OP 4.12
7	Are there any ethnic minority communities present in the project area? Are they adversely impacted by the proposed sub-project?	√	√	OP 4.10
Physical features				

1	Is the settlement/subproject located in an area susceptible to landslides, rock fall or erosion, flood prone areas and extreme climatic conditions, fogs, avalanche, snow-fall, etc?		√	
2	Is the settlement located close to groundwater sources, springs, surface water bodies, riverbank, and watercourses?	√		Likhu River is at about 2 Km away from the settlement and beneficiary do not use this river for purpose regarding water use
3	How is the terrain? –flat, ridge, undulating, terraced, valley, tar etc.		√	Most of the settlement is in ridge part of hill with mostly farming land
4	Any history of erosion, flashflood, landslides, earthquake in the area/ vicinity?		√	
5	Current land use practice (agriculture, grazing, barren, forest, shrubs-----)	√		Mostly agricultural land and forest area
6	Is the subproject located on prime agricultural land?		√	As most of the reconstruction work is in-situe agriculture land will not be effected.
7	Does the sub project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water for the local people or agriculture?		√	
8	Any existing/approved/ potential quarry sites (sand, aggregate, stone, slates, boulders) in the vicinity of the sub-project?		√	Likhu River bank at the side of Thansing VDC ward no. 2, 3 & 4 and even from Trishuli sitieshad obtained approval from DDC, Nuwakot
9	Are there any potential sites/land for debris management/ solid waste management/ reclamation for future use?		√	Not applicable and people could manage their waste from HHs level
10	Are there any areas or features of high landscape or scenic value on or spots with unique feature in and around the location which could be affected by the project? (examples, viewing point/ ridge/ peak, water-fall, cave, cliff etc)		√	
11	Any risks (health and safety, location- cliff, ridge etc.) associated with the setting of the sub-project which needs to be taken care?		√	

Biological features				
1	Any critical habitat or ecosystem of importance? Is the subproject located in or adjacent to a protected areas/ national forests, wilderness areas, wetlands (Ramsar), biodiversity, critical habitats?		√	
2	If the subproject is located near the forest, what is the category of the forest- national, community, leasehold, religious etc.?	√		<i>Chihan Danda Community Forest</i>
3	Any area/ spot in and around the subproject/ settlement that is known as/for wildlife/ bird habitat (examples – bear, langur monkey, red panda, deer, trees where vulture or bats nests, cliff where wild beehives, etc)	√		<i>Semnopithecus entellus, Sus scrofa and Hystrix indica</i>
	Is the settlement/ subproject area a known wildlife movement/ migration route or bird migration route?		√	
	Is there any water body, river, wetland etc known as important habitat (or renowned) for fishes or aquatic life? Any Ramsar site in the vicinity of the settlement/ subproject?		√	Likhu river is an important landmark for the settlement. It is not a Ramsar site. However, the subproject activities are unlikely to have adverse impacts on Likhu River, and its watershed
4	Would the sub-project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?		√	
5	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?		√	
6	Are there any areas/ spots used by the protected, important sensitive species of flora and fauna for breeding, nesting, foraging, resting and for migration?		√	
7	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species or their habitat?		√	
8	Does the sub-project implementation leads to harvesting of natural forests, timber, plantation development, or deforestation?		√	Only few trees from the Community forest will be used for reconstruction because of standing tree having no or little timber value

9	Any NTFP or medicinal plants in and around the sub-project?	√		<i>Mangifera indica</i> (leaf and fruit), <i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> , <i>Artemesia indica</i> , <i>Centella asiatica</i> , <i>Azadirachta indica</i> , <i>Acorus calamus</i> , <i>Nyetanthes arbor-tritis</i> , <i>Utrica dioca</i> , etc are common NTFPs
Socio-economic and Cultural Features				
1	Is the subproject located in a densely populated area?		√	203 HHs at settlement are scatter in distribution
2	How many people comprise a typical household?	√		Average HHs size is 5
3	How many households are without any land/shelter, any squatters?		√	Every HHs have their own private land
4	Is the subproject located near to the sites of archaeological/historical or cultural importance?		√	
5	Presence of minority/vulnerable/indigenous population in the area?	√		28 are Dalit HHs, 144 are Indigenous HHs and 20 are women headed HHs
6	Is the sub-project located near cultural or religious place of importance including sacred hill/ peak, sacred cliff, cave and/ or sacred tree?		√	
7	What household and livelihood support activities typically take place within the affected community?	Agriculture and labor work		
8	What are the typical building practices of the affected people and what are the different materials have they used in the past?	Sizes vary each cases with most 24'*32' plinth with 5 rooms in 2-3 story, timber, stone, clay, bamboo and corrugated sheets		
9	Will the project require displacement or relocation of persons in the affected area?		√	
10	Who are the vulnerable people in the population, also considering those affected by HIV/AIDS etc.?	√		IPs, women headed HHs and Dalit are different Vulnerable People
11	What is the current availability of water for drinking and personal hygiene,	√		Drinking water supply spring is fair and sanitation condition is satisfactory

12	What is the current provision of social/infrastructure facilities (health posts, sub-health posts, schools, communications, road etc?)	√		Health post, school is located at one and half hour walking distance and road connectivity is also available in the settlement
13	Are there any roads on around the location which causes congestion, environmental problems during project implementation?		√	
14	Are there any open space, industries, recreation areas which could be affected by the project?		√	
15	Are there any women headed HH (No. of HHs to be identified) in the settlement.	√		20 HHs are women headed eligible HHs
16	Are there any conflicts about the use of local resources		√	

	What are the programs/ activities ongoing or planned in the settlement/ VDC or subproject area that may be coordinated, linked, and/or worked together for synergy and for 'building better'? Examples: Biogas, improved smokeless stoves, sanitation, micro-hydropower, catchment area/ soil erosion protection, community forest, buffer zone management, trail development, agriculture, composting, flood protection, river training, etc?)		√	Agencies working in different sectors will be added later on in the Annex
	Identification of 'no go' or 'do not disturb' area/ spots/ features based on hazards potential, protected or protection worthy area, common resources, and cultural values.		√	

	Customized minimum requirements for the subproject/ settlements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timber, building stone, mason and easy access for material transport • Discourage use of agricultural land for housing; • Properly manage remaining demolition waste/debris during housing reconstruction; • Adoption of proper safety measures and due priority to vulnerable HHs during re-construction; and • Optimal re-used of salvaged materials.
	Identify programs/ activities for coordination and linking for 'building better' and / or synergy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need for co-ordination with district level line agency; • Housing construction support of NRs. 25,000 needs to be used as an opportunity to further promote sanitations, ICS etc; • Renewable energy including solar power, or bio-gas etc.; and • Identify and co-ordinate with infrastructure development works (eg road upgrading) that are underway as a part of overall reconstruction.

Screening Conclusions:

(i) **Main environmental issues are:**

- Significant environment issues were not identified. Since construction materials such as timber, stone have to be either purchased from local market or brought from DCC approved quarry sites. The requirement of timer will be fulfilled from salvage and additional from local market and through Timber Corporation of Nepal including use of government relaxation quota; and
 - Potential adverse impact is not envisaged on the *Chihan Danda* Community Forest. Because government relaxation quota based on allowed annual sustainable yield will be utilized for reconstruction.
- (ii) Permits/ clearance needed are:**
- Permits from DFO /CFUGs for distribution of timber from community forest to earthquake affected household as provisioned by GoN Rules and Guidelines; and
 - Ensure that the quarry operator hold required permits from Rural Municipality/DCC.
- (iii) Main social issues:**
- 28 are Dalit HHs, 144 are Indigenous HHs and 20 HH are Women headed; and
 - Any social issues of significant concern were not identified at the sub-project settlement
- (iv) Land acquisition and involuntary resettlement (permanent or temporary) if any;**
- Land acquisition and involuntary resettlement is not needed.
- (v) Further assessment/ investigation needed and next step.**
- (vi) Need for any special study:** Not required
- (vii) Preparation ESMP (main issue to be addressed by the ESMP):**
Due to the project implementation, environmental and social impacts are nominal or no adverse impacts. Therefore no need of ESMP. However some common issues and mitigation measures are discussed in table-1 (mitigation measures) of this ESSR.
- (viii) Need for IPDP/VCDP:**
The project area is a mixed community where indigenous households and other households live together. Other vulnerable groups (Dalit, female headed HHs and IPs) are also present in the settlement. IPs HHs have same socio-economic and educational status with other caste groups. The vulnerable households are benefited from the EHRP project and they do not have any adverse impact from it. Besides housing grant they are entitled to get 50,000 top up subsidy. Thus, a separate VCDP is not required.
- (ix) Need for IEE or EIA:** Not needed
- (x) Any other requirements/ need/ issue etc:** Not needed

Screening Tool Completed and Reviewed by:

Environmental Specialist in the District Level -PIU:	Social Specialist in District Level -PIU:	Project Manager in District Level - PIU:	Presentation/ Briefing to DCC – ENRC done on:
Title and Date: Sudeep Ghimire/20 th April, 2017	Title and Date: Rishi Ram Bimali/20 th April, 2017	Title and Date: Sunil Raj Giri/DTO Chief/Project Manager	25 th April, 2017 Advice, comments, and suggestions from the DCC-ENRC are attached in Annex 3 Screening cleared by DCC – ENRC on: 22 nd May, 2017

Annex II: Eligible HHs List of Matragau Settlement

S.N.	Beneficiary	Vulnerable HHs(Dalit, IPs and Woman Headed HHs)	Gender	Ward	Village/Tole
1	Govinda Prashad Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
2	Ramsaran Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
3	Narayan Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
4	Bhangrey Kami	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
5	Surya Bahadur Sunwar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
6	Ishwor Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
7	Rishi Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
8	Bhuanesho Adhikari	WomanHeaded	F	9	Matra gau
9	Top Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
10	Ishwari Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
11	Ganesh Sunuwar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
12	Bhimsen Bahadur Sunwar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
13	Hari maya Sunwar	WomanHeaded,Dalit	F	9	Matra gau
14	Suntali Sunwar	WomanHeaded,Dalit	F	9	Matra gau
15	Rup Bahadur Sunwar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
16	Sitaram Sunwar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
17	Kanxa Sunwar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
18	Ram Bahadur Sunuwar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
19	Ram kumar Sunwar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
20	Saroj Kalikotey		M	9	Matra gau
21	Harka Bahadur Bishokarma	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
22	Man Bahadur Bishokarma	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
23	Bishnu may Sunwar	WomanHeaded,Dalit	F	9	Matra gau
24	Mithu maya Sunuwar	WomanHeaded,Dalit	F	9	Matra gau
25	Gokarna Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
26	Ganga Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
27	Janarjan Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
28	Thakur Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
29	Rakesh Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
30	Kumar Bishokarma	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
31	Krishna Bahadur Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
32	Shyam Bahadur Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matra gau
33	Buddi Maya Tamang	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matragaun
34	Basudev Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
35	Bishnu Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matra gau
36	Rameshwar Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
37	Siva Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
38	Ram Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun

S.N.	Beneficiary	Vulnerable HHs(Dalit, IPs and Woman Headed HHs)	Gender	Ward	Village/Tole
39	Baikuntha Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
40	Krishna Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
41	Dinraj Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
42	Sudarshan Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
43	Hari Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
44	Sambhu Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matragaun
45	Ramhari Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matragaun
46	Sukuman Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
47	Hiralal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
48	Kanxi maya Shrestha	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matra gau
49	Ramkrishna Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
50	Jagatlal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
51	Hari Bahadur Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matragaun
52	Gopi Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matragaun
53	Lalit Bahadur Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matragaun
54	Indra Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
55	Ramhari Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matragaun
56	Resham Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
57	Ramhari Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
58	Purnalal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
59	Gyanu Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
60	Purna Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
61	Shyam Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
62	Gopal Krishna Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
63	Babukaji Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
64	Phul Kumari Lama	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matragaun
65	Bhaira Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
66	Shyam Kumar Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
67	Krishna Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
68	Geetalal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
69	Bishnulal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
70	Ram Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
71	Ram Krishna Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
72	Haribhakta Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
73	Kuber Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
74	Man Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
75	Maya Lama	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matra gaun
76	Dil Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
77	Ram maya Bhatta	WomanHeaded	F	9	Matragaun
78	Gyan Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
79	Durga Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
80	Hasta Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun

S.N.	Beneficiary	Vulnerable HHs(Dalit, IPs and Woman Headed HHs)	Gender	Ward	Village/Tole
81	Narayan Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
82	Ram Krishna Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
83	Gopal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
84	Manilal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
85	Ashok Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
86	Ganesh Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
87	Kumar Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
88	Thakurlal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
89	Sommaya Lama	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matragaun
90	Kebalram Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
91	Sana kanxa Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
92	Dil Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
93	Purna Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
94	Indra kuma Lama	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matragaun
95	Shyam Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
96	Rambahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
97	Gopal Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
98	Kosh Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
99	Thulimaiya Shrestha	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matragaun
100	Yukta nara Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
101	Dhan Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
102	Gore Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
103	Gop naraya Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
104	Durga nara Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
105	Prem Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
106	Sukuman Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
107	Khushiram Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
108	Motiram Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
109	Bishnumaya Shrestha	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matragaun
110	Balram Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
111	Surya nara Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
112	Bhoj naray Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
113	Rambhakta Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
114	Chandra Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
115	Mohanlal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
116	Surya Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
117	Krishna Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
118	Sushman Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
119	Kanxi maya Shrestha	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matragaun
120	Durga nara Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
121	Ram naraya Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
122	Bhoj naray Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
123	Prem naray Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun


S.N.	Beneficiary	Vulnerable HHs(Dalit, IPs and Woman Headed HHs)	Gender	Ward	Village/Tole
124	Gyanlal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
125	Durga nara Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
126	Indra nara Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
127	Ram Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
128	Jagat Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
129	Kiran Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
130	Rabindra Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
131	Ram Kumar Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
132	Karna Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
133	Dhan kumar Tamang	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matragaun
134	Dil Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
135	Ratna Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
136	Gyan Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
137	Dawa Shring Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
138	Nar Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
139	Santa Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
140	Chandra Narayan Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
141	Indra Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
142	Prabin Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
143	Purnalal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
144	Babukaji Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
145	Man Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
146	Lapsang Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
147	Devi Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
148	Sankha Ratna Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
149	Mina Kumari Lama	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matragaun
150	Prem Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
151	Dil Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
152	Bir Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
153	Jagat Bahadhur Adhikari		M	9	Matragau
154	Ramchandra Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
155	Bhim Bahadur Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
156	Krishna Bahadur Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
157	Ram mohan Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
158	Gyan Bahadur Kami	Dalit	M	9	Matragaun
159	Rup Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
160	Rambhakta Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
161	Bishnu Bahadur Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
162	Haribhakta Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragau
163	Irman Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
164	Him Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
165	Man Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun

S.N.	Beneficiary	Vulnerable HHs(Dalit, IPs and Woman Headed HHs)	Gender	Ward	Village/Tole
166	Buddhiman Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
167	Gopilal Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
168	Saili Tamang	Woman Headed,IP	F	9	Matragaun
169	Tejman Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
170	Imansingh Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
171	Maili Tamang	Woman Headed,IP	F	9	Matragaun
172	Bir Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
173	Prem Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
174	Santa Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
175	Thulo kanx Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
176	Krishna Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
177	Tikaram Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
178	Sanbalal Shrestha	IP	M	9	Matragaun
179	Hom Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
180	Aaitaram Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
181	Man Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
182	Jeet Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
183	Ramkrishna Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
184	Ram Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
185	Dil Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
186	Ram Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
187	Gyan Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
188	Buddhi Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
189	Bishnu Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
190	Diwash Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
191	Gore Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
192	Ram Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
193	Santosh Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
194	Aka Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
195	Prem Lama	WomanHeaded,IP	F	9	Matragaun
196	Bharat Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
197	Ratna Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
198	Rajendra Bahadur Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun
199	Rup Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
200	Ram Bahadur Sunar	Dalit	M	9	Matragaun
201	Jagat Bahadur Lama	IP	M	9	Matragaun
202	Buddhi Bahadur Tamang	IP	M	9	Matragaun
203	Govinda Prasad Adhikari		M	9	Matragaun

Annex III: Review Meeting Minutes for Approval of Screening Report for Thansing VDC

आज मिति २०७४/०९/१२ गते यक्ष नुवाकोट जिल्ला, खिल्का अन्तर्गत स्थितिबाट हुनामा स्थानीय विद्यालय अधिकाारी श्री डेबक बहादुर परियत ज्यूको अध्यक्षतामा भूकम्प आवाप पुनर्निर्माण आयोजना अन्तर्गत वलित स्थानमा गरिने वातावरणीय तथा खानामिड हुनाट तथा अन्त-स्थापन योजना/प्रतिवेदन उपर हुनाफल गरि यस मुकाम पेरा गर्नु पर्ने भएकाले नेपाल सरकार मुकम्प आवाप पुनर्निर्माण आयोजना डिप्लोमा आयोजना कार्यालय इडई (DL-PIU) ले तयार पारेको खातोड विपूर-८, डेडरेमि स्थितिबाट वातावरणीय तथा खानामिड हुनाट तथा अन्त-स्थापन प्रतिवेदन खातोड खातिबु धानविड, गा.वि.स. हाल लेखु-६, ६५२ इडई-८ ठा वरू वटा वलित इडई वातावरणीय तथा खानामिड हुनाट प्रतिवेदन उपर हुनाफल गरि निम्नानुषाको यस मुकाम पेरा प्रदान गरियो।


उपस्थिति	नाम	स्थान	संस्था	सि.सं.
१.	डेबक ब. परियत	स्थान- वि.अ, नुवाकोट		
२.	डेबक प्रसाद प.थी	स्थान- वि.अ, नुवाकोट		
३.	वेद प्रसाद गौडेल	(NEA) नुवाकोट		
४.	गोबर्धन बुना	सि.सं. ३.५. खिल्का		
५.	अम्बिका बहादुर	सा.प (लेखु गा.पा)		
६.	राम बहादुर खड्का	ई.०, वि.अ-८/०		
७.	पुलकेश शर्मा शिवालय	ख.सं.क. जि.सं.स.		
८.	शारदाका कुमाल	- ES, DLPIU, EHRP		
९.	चन्द्रबहाल लोडा	- SDS, DLPIU, EHRP		
१०.	पद्म रत्न खड्का	- जि.सं.सं. जि.सं.सं.		
११.	सन्दीप खड्का	- DL-PIU, Nuwakot.		
१२.	शश विमलका खड्का	- DLPIU Nuwakot		
१३.	राधन खड्का	- DLPIU Nuwakot		
१४.	सन्दिप अधिकारी	- DTO		
१५.	जतिष राम खड्का	SDS DLPIU/EHRP		
१६.	सुदिप खड्का	ES, DLPIU/EHRP		
१७.	उपेन्द्र खड्का	उपस्थित तथा उपस्थित सं. जि.सं.सं.		
१८.	सन्दीप प्र.शर्मा	जि.सं.सं.सं., प्रमुख		
१९.	डि.प्र. हरी प्रसाद बुना	जल उपकरण प्रकल्प व्यवस्थापन समिति		
२०.	शैल पुन	- नि.सं.सं.		



Annex IV: List of Consultation**Name of VDC: Thansing, 9****Name of Settlement: Matragau**

S.N.	Name of Participants	Tole
1	Govinda Prashad Adhikari	Matragau
2	Ramsaran Adhikari	Matragau
3	Narayan Prasad Adhikari	Matragau
4	Bhangrey Kami	Matragau
5	Surya Bahadur Sunwar	Matragau
6	Ishwor Prasad Adhikari	Matragau
7	Rishi Prasad Adhikari	Matragau
8	Bhuwanesho Adhikari	Matragau
9	Top Prasad Adhikari	Matragau
10	Ishwari Prasad Adhikari	Matragau
11	Ganesh Su28-ar	Matragau
12	Bhimsen Bahadur Sunwar	Matragau
13	Hari maya Sunwar	Matragau
14	Suntali Sunwar	Matragau
15	Rup Bahadur Sunwar	Matragau
16	Sitaram Sunwar	Matragau
17	Kanxa Sunwar	Matragau
18	Ram Bahadur Su28-ar	Matragau
19	Ram kumar Sunwar	Matragau
20	Saroj Kalikotey	Matragau
21	Harka Bahadur Bishokarma	Matragau

Annex V: Arial View of Matragau Settlement in Google Map



Annex VI: Quantity Calculation Sheet for Matragau

Type of house: Model no. Stone Masonry in Cement Mortar (SMC-2.1) and Brick Masonry in Cement Mortar (BMC-2.1)-							Total HHs: 203
S. No.	Structure Wise	Materials					
		Stone (m³)	Brick (#)	Cement (Bags)	Sand (m³)	Aggregate (m³)	Timber (m³)
1	Upto D.P.C. level	19.00	5,000.00	82.50	12.00	7.00	
2	Super structure	31.00	21,495.00	138.00	18.00	7.00	2.29
3	Roof						1.48
Total (Per HHs)		50.00	26,495.00	220.50	30.00	14.00	3.77
Reuse from demolished house		20.00					2.00
Total Reusable Materials		4,060.00					406.00
Additional Needed/House		30.00					1.77
Estimated Total Quantity		6,090.00	5,378,485.00	44,761.50	6,090.00	2,842.00	359.31
Additional Needed for Total HHs Based upon Brick: Stone > (60:40)%		2,436.00	3,227,091.00	44,761.50	6,090.00	2,842.00	359.31

Reference: MoUD/DUDBC

Note: *: - 1 m³=35.28 cft

**: - Ratio of ongoing construction of houses for bricks and stone (60:40)

Note: Project has been providing training to local masons and carpenters on EQ resilient house construction. Each owner will build his or her own house using locally available skilled and unskilled human resource. Project will not hire contractor for constructing houses. Hence, there will be no influx of labour and no need for labour camp.